

**STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SAC)
STAKEHOLDER FORUM
MINUTES OF MEETING**

Location : Mulia 3 – 4, Premiere Hotel, Pekanbaru

Date, Time : Thursday, 22 April 2021

Participants:

Academia	
1. Hamzah (Universitas Lancang Kuning Riau)	2. Azharudin M. Amin (Universitas Islam Riau)
3. Ando Fayda Aulia (Universitas Riau)	4. Azmansyah (Universitas Islam Riau)
5. Irwan Taslapratama (Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau)	6. Eka Armas Paulis (Universitas Riau)
7. M. Mardhiansyah (Universitas Riau)	8. Eno Suwarno (Universitas Lancang Kuning)
9. Evrizal (LPPM UIR)	
Government Institution	
1. Suharyono (BBKSDA Riau)	2. Rezky A (BBKSDA Riau)
3. M. Putrappener (KPHK BBKSDA Riau)	4. Andri (KPH Tasik Besar Serkap)
5. M. Syahrul Syarif (Bappeda Pelalawan)	6. Anton Sujarno (Bappeda Pelalawan)
7. Purnama I (Bappedalitbang)	8. Ardayani (<i>Dinas Lingkungan Hidup Siak</i>)
9. Ujang H (BBKSDA Riau)	
NGOs	
1. Woro Supartinah (LPESM Riau)	2. Hasan Supriyanto (SIKLUS)
3. Aldo (Jikalahari)	4. Melki Rumania (Yayasan Hutan Riau)
5. Eriyanto (JMGR)	6. Agung Gde (Winrock)
7. M. Rawa El Amady (Scale Up)	8. Haris Iskandar (Winrock)
9. Abizar (YMI)	10. Ulil Amri (YMI)
11. Tomi Jamal (Teras Riau)	12. Miswadi (FKKM Riau)
13. Ismail (Lingkar Hijau Pesisir)	14. Desriandi (PASA)
15. Okto Yugo (Jikalahari)	16. Romes (KALIPTRA Andalas)
17. Syafri (JMGR)	18. Hasri Dinata (Bahtera Alam)
19. Teddy Hardiansyah (Kabut Riau)	20. Rahma Saputri (Winrock)
21. Linda Veronika (Tapak Riau)	22. Cici Rifmayanti (Kamisan)
SAC dan KPMG PRI	
1. Ibu Erna Witoelar	2. Bapak Al-Azhar
3. Bapak Putera Parthama	4. Bapak Yudi Iskandarsyah (KPMG)
APRIL Representatives	
1. Dian Novarina	2. Triana Krisandini
3. Khaerul Basyar	4. Addriyanus Tantra
5. Ika Citra Marlia	

Opening Remarks –Al Azhar (SAC)

- Expressed appreciation to participants for coming to the SAC Local Stakeholder Forum
- Introduced Mr. Putera Parthama as a new SAC member to the participants
- Briefly explained the two agendas of the Forum as follow:
 1. Presentation of the KPMG Assurance Process result
 2. Presentation on APRIL2030
- Invited all the participants to briefly introduce themselves including the SAC members and representatives from APRIL

Introduction to the 2020 SFMP Assurance Process Session – Putera Parthama

- Gave a short background on SFMP 2.0
- Explained that SAC was formed to ensure the implementation of SFMP 2.0 is consistent. SAC then appointed KPMG to conduct an audit on SFMP 2.0 indicators.
- Conveyed that the forum intends to present the result of the 2020 audit and to obtain input related to indicators that will become the focus area in 2021. The 2021 audit will be carried out mostly remotely considering the COVID-19 situation
- Emphasized that SFMP 2.0 applied to APRIL, Supply Partners, and Open Market Suppliers

2020 SFMP 2.0 Assurance Process – Yudi Iskandarsyah, KPMG Assurance Team Member

- Explained the background of SFMP 2.0 assurance process
- Highlighted that KPMG was appointed by the SAC to provide independent report on progress against the main commitment of SFMP 2.0. Therefore findings will be reported to the SAC to get recommendations for further improvement
- Mentioned that currently there are 40 performance indicators, all were reviewed in 2020. For 2021, 8 indicators are proposed in consultation with SAC
- Described the 2020 SFMP 2.0 Assurance Process which assessed all 40 indicators with a data period of January 1 – 31 December 2019. The process involved two visits in February 2020 to two concessions (PT. Mayangkara Tanaman Industri and Padang Lawas) but was limited by the increase in Covid-19 cases. The rest of the process conducted remotely and was completed in September 2020.
- Explained that remote assurance process conducted by using satellite images, police reports, and photos from the respective concessions
- Presented key observations and summary findings in 2020: two new good practices, five new opportunities for improvement
- Mentioned that no conclusion can be drawn on new developments for a supply partner due to lack of sufficient information provided
- Highlighted by 2020 17 of the 19 action plans have already closed. The two remaining open items relate to: SOP for land restoration (APRIL and Supply Partners), and management and safety systems for contractors
- Provided an overview for 2021 plan where remote procedures and field visit (limited to key concession areas) will be conducted in June 2021
- Presented eight proposed performance indicators
- Presented criteria as basis to select sites for site visit

Questions and Answers Session I

Questions	Answers
Muhammad Mardiansyah (UNRI) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Criticized the way the Report on APRIL Group's Implementation of SFMP 2.0 was presented. It was considered not quite systematic hence failed to convey findings	Yudi Iskandarsyah (KPMG) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mentioned that this forum is also functioning as one of the tools to bridge the gap perception

<p>under each indicator as well as the actions recommended/planned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggested that in the future invited stakeholders must be provided with the material in advance • Suggested how sites/companies for field audit must be selected. He emphasized that in order for the result to be accurate and reliable, it must be avoided to purposively select sites/companies that are relatively “free” of problems (hence wrongly indicate the performance of SFMP 2.0 implementation) • Advised that APRIL can use audit process to eliminate gap perception on performance between public and the company • Enquired how APRIL acknowledge recognition of <i>Masyarakat Adat</i> in relation with HCV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted the suggestion that material will be provided in advance • Referred to the list of criteria for site selection which includes: relatively large (>150,000 ton) current suppliers, a concern of or suggested by stakeholders, not previously visited sites, showing potential changes of land cover based on satellite imagery, showing significance increase or decrease of land claim, showing potential loss of conservation area, under government sanctions, was/is an object of media covering, and representing peat or mineral soil. (<i>Note: the fact that a supplier partner in Malaysia (who in 2020 failed to supply adequate data on new development) and PT Adindo Hutani Lestari (who was NGO’s object of concern due to suspected new development/deforestation, and was on a media coverage) are candidate sites, indicate the site selection for 2021 field audit is proper).</i>
<p>Azmansyah (UNRI)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pointed out that in the presentation by Mr. Yudi, 2018 data was being used in the 2020 assurance process • Questioned the 8 indicators as additional to the existing 40 indicators • Suggested for indicator related to community development should measure impact instead of nominal of \$ spent to better reflect the outcome 	<p>Yudi Iskandarsyah (KPMG)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explained that 2018 data was used to reflect the consistency of programs in 2019 with the ones in 2018 • Clarified that the 8 indicators are not additional items, instead those are the indicators chosen to be the focus of the audit • Noted the suggestion while explained that nominal of \$ spent is only one of the indicators KPMG assessed, but in reality, during the interview with community, it was expressed by the community to show impact by using nominal \$
<p>Okto (Jikalauhari)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appreciated the transparency showed by APRIL by having this forum • Criticized that findings from the assurance process don’t reflect substantive matters, such as canal development in Dayun • Suggested that initial findings should be consulted with stakeholders in a forum like today • Suggested to have an indicator that relates to how the rights of customary communities are being treated. He raised a particular case (although it is not happening within APRIL’s 	<p>Dian Novarina (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarified that the increased mills capacity is in fact due to the significant increase in the productivity of APRIL/RAPP’s plantations as indicated by the plantation’s MAI/CAI. Therefore, the increase in mill capacity will not contradict the raw-material self-sufficiency target nor will entail a need for a new development of plantations. The AMDAL has been issued • On APR: There was a 10% increase in the efficiency of the production process at the

<p>area) concerning sialang trees (Note: sialang being the trees on which honeybees commonly live. Government requires, sialang trees to be spared in the land clearing or logging, in order to sustain the livelihood of honey bee collectors).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enquired on APRIL's expansion plan and the status of the AMDAL. He mentioned in the respective document, it was mentioned that 36% of the community rejected the plan • Asked for clarification on APR's plan to increase production, whether it will require new materials or only in a form of diversification • Reminded that with APR's current production capacity, there are already number of complaints from communities on odour. He raised concern what will happen once the production has increased • Raised his concern that APRIL will engage in a cooperation with a Community Forest Permit holder in Kuansing, who is suspected in the process of planning to convert a natural forest into a plantation forest 	<p>mill therefore it was decided to diversify the derivative products (rayon to yarn)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explained that there is no such plan to engage a cooperation with the mentioned Community Forest Permits holder. After all, consistent with SFMP 2.0. APRIL Group will never accept any supply of wood originating from natural forests (mixed hardwood)
<p>Linda Veronika (TAPAK Riau)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pointed out on 2019 assurance process whether there will be a report back once APRIL closed the chance for improvement items. She mentioned specific case in Cerenti on CD Program • Raised concern on data being provided by APRIL to KPMG didn't represent the real situation in the field • Argued that APRIL should involve community on producing land cover change data especially the ones showing encroachment. This is to prevent false indication that encroachment is caused by community • Enquired on livelihood plantation since in general community complained about the price that's too low 	<p>Yudi Iskandarsyah (KPMG)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explained that data inaccuracy existed due to collection process which became challenges for KPMG when it relates to the company hierarchy. Data needs arise according to the dynamics in the field. So far APRIL has provided data as requested by KPMG, which will be cross checked with the real data in field by KPMG to ensure which data best represent the most recent • Pointed out that for LCC is not easy to identify the committing party from satellite imagery
<p>Asarudin - UIR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasized that the auditor's background influences the audit focuses on in the field. The auditor's understanding of all the focus indicators must be sufficient, not heavily concentrated on certain indicators 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggested for a learning process related to inaccurate data because it has been repeated • Proposed for periodic visits to the same location to see the progress of improvement on the findings • Conveyed expectations between the company and the community to synchronize regarding assistance distributed to the community 	
<p>Suharyono (BBKSDA Riau)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questioned on who determined/selected the performance indicators, and why the 2021 audit only to use 8 performance indicators • Questioned whether HCV in APRIL is in accordance with the designation in the conservation program since so far BBKSDA Riau has never been involved in the process. Suggested to review in the future to ensure that HCV is not only cover deep peat and potential conflicts 	<p>Yudi Iskandarsyah (KPMG)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responded that the list of indicators is a result of a long and thorough discussion involving APRIL, SAC and other stakeholders. For the 2021, by design the indicators are focussed on the critical topics/areas
<p>Introduction to the APRIL2030 Session – Erna Witoelar</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gave background on the APRIL2030 formulation process and emphasized that APRIL2030 will continue to be consulted to stakeholders to ensure continuous improvement. • Expressed appreciation to APRIL for its APRIL2030 as no company yet in Indonesia has such a deep commitment for the achievement of SDGs through an elaborative 10-year program. 	
<p>APRIL2030 – Triana Krisandini</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informed the participants that APRIL2030 was launched in November 2020 and APRIL would like to use this opportunity to present to the stakeholders in Riau • Mentioned that APRIL 2030 was formulated through series of process and taking consideration of these four elements: Priority of Forestry Development, SDGs, SFMP 2.0, and Net Zero Emission 2050 • Explained the four pillars of APRIL2030 and their respective targets • Highlighted five concrete initiatives to drive achievement of APRIL2030: participations in science-based targets, broadening commitments to forest conservation and restoration, supporting wildlife protection, tropical peatland research center, and use of renewable energy • Emphasized that APRIL understands the importance of partnerships and collaborations in order to achieve APRIL2030 	
<p>Questions and Answers Session I</p>	
<p>Questions</p>	<p>Answers</p>
<p>Suharyono (BBKSDA Riau)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appreciated that wildlife protection is included in the APRIL2030 targets and reiterated BBKSDA readiness to engage in cooperation on the subject 	
<p>Eka Armas Paulis (UNRI)</p>	<p>Triana Krisandini (APRIL)</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questioned APRIL's commitment to remain in Riau in relation to two things: capital outflow and repatriation (foreign workers) • Appreciated the innovation from APR side but at the same time emphasized the importance of an innovation that can bring prosperity to the surrounding communities • Suggested APRIL to compensate land acquisition with agriculture through its CD program because when land is acquired, community's rights attaching the land are also being taken 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted the suggestion and explained further that APRIL will provide capacity building to farmers through its CD programs
<p>Andrio (KPH Tasik Besar Serkap)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regretted that APRIL never synchronized its programs with KPH • Asked APRIL to close canals in the protection forest area 	<p>Dian Novarina (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensured that this lack of interaction with KPH Tasik Besar Serkap will be corrected
<p>Eno Suwarno (Univ. Lancang Kuning)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggested that transparency can be supported by the results of research conducted by academics. Therefore number of research conducted can be a performance indicator. APRIL can prepare budget and select proposals 	<p>Triana Krisandini (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted the suggestion
<p>Woro Supartinah (LPESM Riau)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Criticized APRIL 2030 for setting year 2030 as the target year for the elimination of extreme poverty within 50-km area because it is 6 years behind the government/national target year • Warned KPMG to always maintain its independency so not to position itself as a defender of APRIL 	<p>Triana Krisandini (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasized that APRIL hopes to achieve target related with extreme poverty eradication sooner. On one hand APRIL tries to be not too ambitious and works in a rush that can cause failure in achieving the target
<p>M. Syahrul Syarif (Bappeda Pelalawan)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applauded the target of eliminating extreme poverty within 50 km, because it is in line with the fact that Pelalawan is in the third place in Riau of in term of poverty • Suggested for APRIL to synchronize with Bappeda Pelalawan on target groups for poverty eradication 	<p>Triana Krisandini (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Responded by citing that the target was developed on the basis of a survey conducted by a consultant (SMERU)
<p>Irwan (UIN Riau)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggested an idea for APRIL to facilitate medical waste management from nearby hospitals 	<p>Triana Krisandini (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted the suggestion
<p>Hamzah (Univ. Lancang Kuning)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provided information on solar panels initiative, Riau pushed for 25% in 2025. 	<p>Triana Krisandini (APRIL)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conveyed that APRIL will try its best to align its agendas with national and local level

Suggested APRIL to align its solar panel plan to be aligned with national level (Riau)	
<p>Syafri (JMGR)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised a concern that there are villages in Pelalawan that have never been involved in the program of tanaman kehidupan nor kemitraan • Complained about the existence of an RAPP's road that is blocking a river, creating problems for the village traditionally use the river for daily life 	
Closing Remarks – Al Azhar (SAC)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stated that all inputs and feedback from today's forum will be discussed by SAC to provide recommendation that can accommodate the input and feedback from participants • Agreed with Woro that the audit by KPMG must be able to reflect the reality associated with SFMP 2.0 • Highlighted that APRIL 2030 can be perceived as a fair initiative or conversely an ambitious one. • Emphasized that SAC will held a meeting soon and the result will be communicated. 	