

Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) Meeting

– Stakeholder Forum with local NGOs, Pangkalan Kerinci, 14 Januari 2016 –

SAC Member	1. Joe Lawson (<i>Ketua</i>)
	2. Al Azhar
	3. Budi Wardhana
	4. Jeffrey Sayer
	5. Rusmadya Maharuddin
	6. Neil Byron (Potential future member)
Local NGOs Representative	1. Priyo Anggoro, Forum Komunikasi Kehutanan Masyarakat (FKKM) Riau
	2. Rudi Hidayat, Perkumpulan Elang
	3. Rini Ramadhanti, Institut Social Economic Change (ISEC)
Date	14 Jan 2016
Venue	Bono room, Grand Hotel Pangkalan Kerinci
Topic of Discussion:	

A. Summary of discussion related to SFMP 2.0 (Implementation and verification):

- 1. NGO asked APRIL to prepare a "Roadmap" or stages of activities to be undertaken related to the implementation of SFMP 2.0 commitments in a detailed and clear manner. Currently the implementation of SFMP is still partially done and depending on special case by case.
- 2. NGO asked APRIL to complete the ongoing work of "Grievance Mechanism", because it has been discussed for a long time but there is still no clarity of when the work will be completed. This mechanism will be critical in relation to the implementation of APRIL's commitments.

B. Summary of discussion related to criteria and indicators:

- 1. There is still no involvement of women in the process of finalizing the indicators, this should be considered since the role of women in society is quite significant.
- 2. The existing indicators are selected to assess the performance of APRIL. These indicators should not be changed or fixed but the benchmark for each indicator can be adjusted based on the result of audit which is conducted annually.

C. Other topics:

1. Canal opening or canal blocking for irrigation or transportation done by the plantation company have caused the surrounding natural rivers to become dry and are prone to cause fire. For example: a branch of Kampar River, which is the Pelalawan river, its current situation is dry and the local



community suspected that the company concession was causing its dryness.

- 2. Some of economic livelihoods were lost due to the granting of concession licenses to the plantation company. On this occasion, the company should start considering in providing assistance to the community, not only in the form of ceremonial, but also to give assistance in the form of alternative livelihood for the community, advocacy as well as capacity building for the community.
- 3. The management of livelihood plantation through the formation of cooperatives at the community level is still not going well, due to the lack of skills and knowledge on cooperatives by the community. The capacity building for the community is needed to enable them to manage, maintain and distribute the results accordingly. Seeking cooperation and inputs from experienced institutions must be done.
- 4. The realization of livelihood plantation areas in Pulau Padang should be resolved immediately since this is for the community's interest. In connection with APRIL's commitment to stop clearing new forested area, it is necessary to find an alternative solution, for example by allocating another area as the livelihood plantation area. We need to also consider whether the allocation of livelihood plantation is really helpful and giving positive impact for the community.

Next Stakeholder Forum Meeting:

Place : Riau Province, Indonesia Time : TBA