



STAKEHOLDER
ADVISORY
COMMITTEE

**Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) on
APRIL's Sustainable Forest Management Policy (SFMP)
– Stakeholder Forum with Local NGOs in Pekanbaru, 26 May 2015 –**

SAC MEMBERS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Joe Lawson (<i>Chair</i>) 2. Al Azhar 3. Budi Wardhana 4. Jeffrey Sayer 5. Peter White
LOCAL NGOs REPRESENTATIVES	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aiden Yusti, Forum Komunikasi Kehutanan Masyarakat (FKKM) Riau 2. Tengku Rafizal, Forum Komunikasi Pemuka Masyarakat Riau (FKPMR) 3. Teddy H, Kabut Riau 4. M. Yudi, WWF Riau 5. Rames IP, Scale Up 6. Anie, Scale Up 7. Syahrudin, Jaringan Masyarakat Gambut Riau (JMGR) 8. Benk, Jikalahari 9. Made Ali, Jikalahari 10. Nurul Qomar, University of Riau 11. Mochamad Ikhwan, Faculty of Forestry, University of Lancang Kuning
Date	26 May 2015
Venue	Room Meeting II, Labersa Hotel and Resort, Pekanbaru
TOPICS OF DISCUSSION:	
<p>A. Suggestions to the updated SFMP 2.0:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Requesting for APRIL (<i>the Company</i>) to consider “National Movement to Save Natural Resources” initiated by Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) in updating SFMP 2.0; 2. Requesting for the Company to stop using mixed hardwood (MHW); 3. Requesting for the Company to only restore degraded or damaged peatland area; 4. Requesting for the Company to expand SFMP 2.0 to broader social issues and conflict resolutions. 	
<p>B. Suggestions on the SFMP 2.0 implementation and verification:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Requesting for the Company to provide details of SFMP 2.0, including action plan, timeline and key indicators. This will be a baseline for NGOs and key stakeholders to oversee the implementation of SFMP 2.0 and to provide inputs to SAC or the Company; 	



2. There is a need to socialize and have the same understanding of the SFMP 2.0 between the Company and its key stakeholders;
3. Requesting for the SAC to involve the local NGOs on the verification of SFMP 2.0, because the local NGOs know exactly what is happening on the ground. One idea is to invite local NGOs to go with KPMG when conducting the audit;
4. Communication to key stakeholders is very critical. Currently what the Company has done so far is communicating through the Company's website, blog and twitter, but only has had very limited face-to-face meetings with local NGOs. Requesting for the Company to improve its communications strategy and to increase Stakeholders awareness on SFMP 2.0 implementation and progress.

C. Suggestions on the Stakeholder Forum and the SAC:

1. Most participants recognized that this Stakeholder Forum is imperative for the SAC and its stakeholders, where the SAC can listen to the factual information on the ground and the stakeholders can have a platform to share their inputs to the SAC. Everybody agrees to have this Stakeholder Forum on a regular basis, before or after the SAC meeting;
2. To improve its effectiveness, the local NGOs suggested to invite the Company's representatives to the next Stakeholder Forum so they can provide direct feedback to the issue;
3. Requesting for the SAC to build trust by having more transparency, engagement and regular meetings with the local NGOs.

D. Other issues:

1. Requesting for the Company to resolve conflict in Bagan Melibur because of the overlapping permits and maps (SK 2013 vs Bupati's map 2006);
2. Requesting for the Company to improve its online communication "behavior" in the Company's twitter account and APRILDialog articles.

NEXT STAKEHOLDER FORUM MEETING:

Place: Riau province, Indonesia

Time: 9 November 2015